



Fw: Supplementary considerations of the gravest concern CONFIDENTIAL

From: **Christopher Story FRSA** (cstory@worldreports.org)
Sent: Wed 3/12/08 10:56 PM
To: Ambassador Leo E Wanta (diplomat_switzerland@msn.com)
Cc: MICHAEL COTTRELL (pii-mcc@msn.com)

----- Original Message -----

From: Christopher Story FRSA
To: ThomasEsq_232@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, March 13, 2008 2:54 AM
Subject: Supplementary considerations of the gravest concern CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Mr Henry

Further to my emailed letter dated 12th March 2008 sent at 20:28 UK time, I would like to add the following:

1. Since June 2006 I have, with the encouragement and support of Ambassador Wanta and Michael C. Cottrell, M.A., published 99 reports (the 'Wantagate reports') **in which we have explicitly pointed out the US laws and securities regulations that have been repeatedly flouted by named US elected and appointed officials, and by named US financial institutions.**

With the support and emphatic continuing encouragement of both Mr Wanta and Mr Cottrell, I have emphasised at every opportunity the absolute necessity for the 'Rule of Law' to be applied.

2. Your correspondence to high-level officials has likewise invoked the 'Rule of Law'. To give one example, your letter to Vice President Richard B. Cheney dated 4th February 2007, displayed on page 338 of *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, Numbers 1 & 2, states:

'It is respectfully proffered that circumvention and diversionary interference of private business transactions by publicly elected officials is a violation of the 'Rule of Law". Thus you, on behalf of your client Ambassador Wanta, have invoked the 'Rule of Law', as have the Ambassador and Mr Cottrell in separate correspondence to President George W. Bush: as, for instance, in the letter dated 14th May 2007, displayed on pages 507-508 of *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, Numbers 1 & 2, which ends with recitation of H.R. 3723.

3. The letter dated 14th May 2007, displayed on pages 507-508 of *International Currency Review*, Volume 33, Numbers 1 & 2, is headed:

'In the matter of: the Agreed Upon Financial Settlement and Four Point Five Trillion United States Dollars (\$4,500,000,000,000.00) regarding Ambassador Leo E. Wanta/Lee E. Wanta and AmeriTrust Groupe, Inc. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc, corporate securities account coordinates for AmeriTrust Groupe, Inc. dated 14 August 2006'...

... thereby, as in other correspondence both signed by yourself and by the Ambassador and Mr Cottrell, specifically reaffirming the corporate securities account arrangement with Morgan Stanley which is to receive and by clear implication to hold the funds in accordance with the reaffirmed Treasury Direct instructions outstanding. Hence, the President of the United States and other highest-level officials have repeatedly been reminded of these specific arrangements, **from which no deviation has ever been mentioned in published, open domain correspondence.**

4. Paragraph 14 of the Ambassador's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus, in which the lawless actions of senior US officials were delineated, closes with the following paragraph:

'At or about the time of the unauthorized transfer mentioned in this Paragraph 14 Petitioner protested the alleged right of "entitlement" by Secretary Paulson and to facilitate protest of right of ownership under the "Securities Acts" [1933 and 1934] accounts were opened in the name of AmeriTrust Groupe, Inc. at

Morgan Stanley, fiduciary account at CITIBank/NYC to receive direct deposit transfer of Petitioner funds from Goldman Sachs'. The information outlined in the ensuing Paragraph 15 is relevant in this context.

The whole point of funds being held in a fiduciary securities account such as that described is that funds in such an account are not allowed to be attached, under the relevant US securities regulations/legislation. This provides **MUCH BETTER SECURITY** than is available from a US bank account.

Given the above (and much more that is in the public domain, not least as a direct consequence of the collaboration afforded between myself, the Ambassador and Mr Cottrell over a prolonged period, giving rise to the 'Wantagate reports'), it appears to me, as an investigative reporter owing a duty to our subscribers as explained in my earlier email, that:

(a) **Any** variation of the aforementioned arrangements which have been explained to the President of the United States and to holders of the highest offices in your country, and to the United States Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, may imperil the Ambassador in a fraudulent transaction or transactions.

(b) Any such development, if allowed to materialise, may result in the total discrediting of the following parties:

Christopher Edward Harle Story, the Editor of *International Currency Review*.

Ambassador Leo/Lee Emil Wanta

Attorney Thomas Henry

Michael C. Cottrell, M.S., should he be placed in a position where this occurs.

The other associate working with the Ambassador and Mr Cottrell, whose identity is known to me.

(c) I, Christopher Story, would then be left in the position of having to explain to our subscribers and to the millions (literally) of readers of our website Wantagate NEWS reports, that at the end of our historically unprecedented exposures of financial fraud and corruption, Ambassador Wanta himself was compromised and placed in a position where he wittingly or inadvertently perpetrated the very type of financial fraud that we have spent the past 23 months excoriating and of which we have been vociferously complaining in respect of the crimes committed by US officials and institutions, concerning which we have NEVER been contradicted.

I have been told by an intermediary that the securities account with Morgan Stanley will act as a 'pass-through' account, and that accordingly the situation has not changed. However that is NOT the impression that has been conveyed to the international financial community through my reports which were written on the basis of information supplied to me by BOTH Principals, with many of my articles being read before publication by the Ambassador, as well as by Mr Cottrell. **If that was always the intention, this was NEVER explained to me, and I would have to conclude in that case that I have been very seriously misled by the Ambassador, Mr Cottrell and yourself.**

On the basis of my 40 years' reporting experience, I would have to conclude further that treating the Morgan Stanley securities account as a mere 'pass-through' account (when we have been preaching to the whole world that US banks cannot be trusted (which is manifestly the case) and that **FOR THIS REASON** the Principals have insisted upon payment being made into the corporate securities account, and that therefore it has been clearly implied that the securities account will be used for subsequent transactions by the Ambassador), would constitute a material change of the arrangements set forth to the President of the United States, the Court, the international financial community through *International Currency Review*, and the wider website readership, leaving the Ambassador a sitting duck for a fraud charge, given the dishonesty and duplicity of the kind of people we have been having to deal with. I would also have to conclude that in such circumstances, the latent enemies of Mr Wanta would have a case which they might be able to carry through the Courts, thereby achieving the objectives outlined in my earlier email.

Please be advised that these considerations are advanced for three reasons: (a) My now extreme concern for the Ambassador's interests (NOT for mine); (b) Because I must explain matters clearly **AND TRUTHFULLY** to our international financial community subscribers and to the huge website readership; and (c) Because naturally I do

<http://by127w.bay127.mail.live.com/mail/PrintShell.aspx?type=message&cpids=180e7c3c...> 3/13/2008

E4-2

not want to find myself in the position of having to explain to these constituencies that, at the last moment, the Ambassador was pressured to succumb to arrangements which left him vulnerable to being arrested for financial fraud.

Such an outcome would absolutely DELIGHT the Dark Forces we have had to oppose, and would ensure the total collapse of the 'Rule of Law' which you, Sir, are professionally engaged in upholding.

I would be grateful if you would address these concerns, in your anticipated reply.

If they are misplaced, please explain to me, in detail, why this is the case.

I await your kind and urgent response.

Yours sincerely,

Christopher Story FRSA
cstory@worldreports.org
www.worldreports.org

E4-3